

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/713,412	Applicant(s) CANTRILL, BRYAN M.	
	Examiner Phillip H. Nguyen	Art Unit 2191	

All Participants:

(1) Phillip H. Nguyen.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Robert P. Lord (Reg No 46,479).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

1, 7-8, and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

Bryant (USPN 6,728,949)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to Mr. Lord 7/17/2008 seeking an examiner's amendment. The examiner's amendment is necessitated to further clarify the claimed invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)